Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



nary Services

1

A DEC 0 3 2009

BY:

June 2009

Salmonella on U.S. Beef Cowcalf Operations, 2007–08

or Epidemiology and Animal Health

Salmonella bacteria have been isolated from nearly all vertebrates, and Salmonella infections have been associated with both animal and human disease. Typical signs of Salmonella infection in cattle and humans include fever and diarrhea. Severe cases can result in death.

Shedding of *Salmonella* bacteria in cattle often occurs in the absence of clinical signs, sometimes for extended periods. In some cases, foods of animal origin have been implicated as the source of human illness caused by *Salmonella*. Salmonellosis in humans is typically self-limiting and most people recover within 1 week. Antimicrobials are not necessary for treatment of most *Salmonella* infections in humans and animals; however, *Salmonella* resistance to antimicrobials is a concern because it can complicate treatment options in severe infections.

NAHMS Beef 2007-08 study

The U.S. Department of Agriculture's National Animal Health Monitoring System (NAHMS) conducted the Beef 2007–08 study, which focused on beef cow-calf health and management practices in 24 States from three regions of the United States. These States represented 79.6 percent of U.S. operations with beef cows and 87.8 percent of U.S. beef cows.

One objective of the Beef 2007–08 study was to describe the occurrence of *Salmonella* and associated antimicrobial resistance on beef cow-calf operations in the United States. NAHMS also examined *Salmonella* occurrence in adult cows on beef cow-calf operations in its Beef '97 study. For comparison purposes, this information sheet presents data from both studies

Table 1 presents results from the two NAHMS beef cow-calf studies. For each of the studies, samples were collected from up to 40 fresh fecal pats on the ground. Care was taken to ensure samples originated from adult beef cows.

For the Beef '97 study, samples were taken from 187 beef cow-calf operations; for the Beef 2007–08 study, samples were taken from 173 operations. Results in table 1 indicate little change occurred from 1997 to 2007 in the percentage of positive operations and cows positive for *Salmonella*.

Table 1. Number and Percentage of Operations (and Number and Percentage of Cows Sampled) Positive for Salmonella

	Operations with at Least One Positive Cow		Positive Sampled Cows	
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Beef '97	21/187	11.2	70/5,049	1.4
Beef 2007-08	16/173	9.2	31/5,793	0.5

In the Beef 2007–08 study, the number of *Salmonella*-positive cow-calf operations did not differ substantially by herd size² or by region. Two cow-calf operations tested positive for four different *Salmonella* serotypes, and two different serotypes were present on three operations. On all other positive operations, only a single serotype was identified. *S.* Montevideo was the most common serotype (17.6 percent of isolates [table 2].

Table 2. Number and Percentage of Salmonella Isolates (and Number and Percentage of Positive Operations), by Serotype

	Isolates* (n=34)		Operations (n=16)	
Serotype	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Braenderup	2	5.9	2	12.5
Meleagridis	2	5.9	1	6.3
Montevideo	6	17.6	2	12.5
Newport	2	5.9	2	12.5
I 3,10:-:1,w	2	5.9	1	6.3
I 6,7:k:-	3	8.8	1	6.3
All others**	17	50.0	13	81.3

^{*}More than one isolate was cultured from two samples.

^{**}Three untypable isolates are included here, as well as serotypes with one isolate each (Anatum, Javiana, Lawndale, Mbandaka, Oukam, Rubislaw, Saugas, and seven unnamed serotypes).

²Herd size (Number of Beef Cows): 1-49, 50-99, 100-199, 200 or more.

States/Regions

West: California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Oregon, Wyoming

Central: Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South

Southeast: Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia

Salmonella antimicrobial susceptibility

None of the Salmonella isolates from the Beef 2007-08 study were resistant to any of the 15 antimicrobials against which they were tested. In the Beef '97 study, only 12.8 percent of the isolates were resistant to at least one antimicrobial (table 3).

Table 3. Percentage of Resistant Salmonella Isolates, by Antimicrobial*

	Percent Isolates			
	Beef '97	Beef		
Antimicrobial	(n=78)	2007–08 (n=34)		
Amikacin	0.0	0.0		
Amoxicillin-Clavulanic				
acid	0.0	0.0		
Ampicillin	1.3	0.0		
Apramycin	0.0	NA		
Cefoxitin	NA	0.0		
Ceftiofur	0.0	0.0		
Ceftriaxone	0.0	0.0		
Cephalothin	0.0	NA		
Chloramphenicol	0.0	0.0		
Ciprofloxacin	0.0	0.0		
Gentamicin	2.6	0.0		
Kanamycin	0.0	0.0		
Nalidixic Acid	0.0	0.0		
Streptomycin	11.5	0.0		
Sulfamethoxazole**	11.5	0.0		
Tetracycline	2.6	0.0		
Ticarcillin	1.3	NA		
Trimethoprim- Sulfamethoxazole	0.0	0.0		
Resistant to two or more antimicrobials	11.5	0.0		
Susceptible to all antimicrobials	9,51			
tested**	87.2	100.0		

^{*} Intermediate isolates were classified as susceptible.

NA = antimicrobial not included for this study.

Summary

The percentage of Salmonella-positive beef cow-calf operations in the Beef '97 and Beef 2007-08 studies was quite low, with Salmonella being found on about

10 percent of operations in each study. Approximately 1 percent of samples were positive for Salmonella in Beef '97 and Beef 2007-08. These results suggest that Salmonella are not very common on U.S. beef cow-calf operations. It is possible that repeated sampling of these same operations over time could identify more positive operations. Herd size and region of the United States were not associated with the presence of Salmonella on operations from the Beef 2007-08 study. Antimicrobial resistance was not observed in any of the Salmonella isolates from the Beef 2007-08 study, and very little resistance was seen in isolates from the Beef '97 study. These results suggest that antimicrobial-resistant Salmonella are uncommon in U.S. beef cow-calf operations.

APHIS acknowledges the contributions of the USDA-ARS Bacterial Epidemiology and Antimicrobial Resistance Research Unit for their participation in the Beef 2007-08 study.

For more information, contact:

USDA-APHIS-VS-CEAH NRRC Building B, M.S. 2E7 2150 Centre Avenue Fort Collins, CO 80526-8117 970.494.7000 E-mail: NAHMS@aphis.usda.gov http://nahms.aphis.usda.gov

#N553.0609

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Mention of companies or commercial products does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the USDA over others not mentioned. USDA neither guarantees nor warrants the standard of any product mentioned. Product names are mentioned solely to report factually on available data and to provide specific information.

^{**}Sulfisoxazole replaced Sulfamethoxazole in 2007-08.